

ADAMAWA STATE BUREAU OF PUBLIC PROCUREMENT (ADSBPP)

EVALUATION REPORT FORM FOR THE SELECTION OF CONSULTANTS

FOR

MDA's, STATE ASSEMBLY, STATE JUDICIARY, INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT COUNCILS

DECEMBER, 2020

(Earlier edition of September, 2014 no longer in use)

Preface!

Consultants employed by a Procuring Entity (PE) and financed by Adamawa State Government are hired in accordance with the Adamawa State Public Procurement Bureau's Public Procurement (Consultancy) Regulations.

- (i) A technical evaluation report subject to prior review by ADSBPP, such as the ADSBPP's Certificate-of-Compliance prior to opening the financial proposals; or
- (ii) A technical evaluation notice for contracts above the prior review threshold but below a higher threshold. In such case, the PE needs not wait for the ADSBPP's Certificate-of-Compliance to open the financial proposals;
 - In both cases the PE must send to ADSBPP for prior review the combined technical/financial evaluation report;
- (b) For contracts subject to post-review by the Bureau (ADSBPP):
 - (i) A combined technical/financial report to be reviewed or audited subsequently.

This document sets out the format of a sample evaluation report. It is provided to Procuring Entities to facilitate the evaluation of consultants' proposals and the subsequent review of these proposals by the ADSBPP. Its use is strongly recommended but not mandatory.

The evaluation must be in accordance with the criteria spelled out in the Request for Proposals (RFP) and carried out by qualified evaluators. The Request for Proposals should be prepared in agreement with the Guidelines.

The evaluation report includes five sections:

Section I. A Short Report Summarizing the Findings of the Technical Evaluation;

Section II. Technical Evaluation Report-Forms;

Section Ill. A Short Report Summarizing the Findings of the Financial Evaluation;

Section IV. Financial Evaluation Report-Forms;

Section V. Annexes:

Annex I. Individual Evaluations;

Annex II. Information Data Monitoring;

Annex III. Minutes of the Public Opening of the Financial Proposals;

Annex IV. Copy of the Request for Proposals;

Annex V. Miscellaneous Annexes-Ad Hoc.

The report can be used for all methods of selection described in the Guidelines. Though it mainly addresses Quality- and Cost-Based Selection, each section contains a note indicating the data and forms that are to be provided for the other methods of selection.

The evaluation notice is sent to the ADSBPP after the technical evaluation is completed. It includes only Form IIB and a short explanatory note to flag important aspects of the evaluation. Following the ADSBPP's Certificate-of-Compliance to the evaluation notice, the Procuring Entity prepares Forms IVC and IVD and a short explanatory note to highlight the most important aspects of the financial evaluation.

Users of this sample evaluation report are invited to submit comments on their experience with the document to:

Adamawa State Bureau of Public Procurement, State Secretariat Complex, Yola, Adamawa State

CONSULTANT EVALUATION REPORT

Procuring Entity [insert: name of PE]

Project Name [insert: project name]

Title of Consulting Services [insert: title]

Date of Submission [insert: date]

Contents

Section I.	Techn	ical Evalua	tion Repor	rt-Tex	t	-	-	-	-	-	1
Section II.	Techn	ical Evalua	tion Repo	rt-Fori	ms	-	-	-	-	-	3
Form	IIA.	Technical	Evaluation	n - Bas	sic Data	ì -	-	-	-	-	4
Form	IIB.	Evaluation	n Summary	y	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
Form	IIC.	Individual	Evaluatio	ns – C	ompari	son	-	-	-	-	9
Section III. F	inancia	al Evaluatio	on Report-	Award	Recon	nmenda	ation -	Γext	-	-	11
Section IV F	inancia	l Evaluatio	n Report-A	Award	Recom	ımenda	ition –	Forms	-	-	13
Form	IVA.	Financial	Evaluation	-Basic	Data	-	-	-	-	-	14
Form	IVB.	Adjustmen	ntsCurrei	ncy Co	onversio	on-Eva	luated	Prices	-	-	16
Form	IVC.	QCBS-Co		echnic	al/Finaı	ncial E	valuati	on-Aw	ard		
		Recomme	ndation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
Form	IVD.	Fixed-Buc	lget and Lo	east-C	ost Sele	ection-	Award	Recom	ımenda	tion -	18
Section V. A	nnexes		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
Annex I(i). In	ndividu	ıal Evaluati	ons-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
Annex I(ii). I	Individ	ual Evaluat	ions-Key l	Person	nel	-	-	-	-	-	21
Annex II. Inf	formati	on Data Mo	onitoring	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
Annex III. M	linutes	of Public C	pening of	Finan	cial Pro	posals	-	-	-	-	23
Annex IV. Re	equest	for Proposa	als -	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
Annex V. Mi	iscellan	eous Anne	xes-Ad Ho	С	_	_	_	_	_	_	25

Section I. Technical Evaluation Report-s-Text'

1. Background

Include a brief description, context, scope, and objectives of the services. Use about a quarter of a page.

2. The Selection

Elaborate on information provided in Form IIA.

Process (Prior to Technical Evaluation)

Describe briefly the selection process, beginning with the advertising (if required), the establishment of the shortlist, expressions of interest, and withdrawals of firms before proposal submissions.

Describe major events that may have affected the timing (delays, complaints from consultants, key correspondence with the ADSBPP, Request for Proposals (RFP), extension of proposal submission date, and so on).

Use about one-half to one page.

3. Technical Evaluation

Describe briefly the meetings and actions taken by the evaluation committee: formation of a technical evaluation team, outside assistance, evaluation guidelines, justification of sub-criteria and associated weightings as indicated in the Standard Request for Proposals; relevant correspondence with the ADSBPP; and compliance of evaluation with RFP.

Present results of the technical evaluation: scores and the award recommendation.

Highlight strengths and weaknesses of each proposal (most important part of the report).

- (a) <u>Strengths:</u> Experience in very similar projects in the country; quality of the methodology, proving a clear understanding of the scope of the assignment; strengths of the local partner; and experience of proposed staff in similar assignments.
- (b) <u>Weaknesses:</u> Of a particular component of the proposal; of a lack of experience in the country; of a low level of participation by the local partner; of a lack of practical experience (experience in studies rather than in implementation); of staff experience compared to the firm's experience; of a key staffer (e.g., the team leader); of a lack of responsiveness; and of disqualifications (conflict of interest).

^{1.} Section I applies to Quality- and Cost-Based Selection (QCBS), Quality-Based Selection (Quality-Based), Fixed-Budget Selection (Fixed-Budget), and Least-Cost Selection (Least-Cost). Provide appropriate information in the case of Selection Based on Qualifications (Qualifications) and Single-Source Selection (SS).

Comment on individual evaluators' scores (discrepancies).

Items requiring further negotiations.

Use up to three pages.

Section II. Technical Evaluation Report - Forms

Form IIA. Technical Evaluation-Basic Data

Form IIB. Evaluation Summary-Technical Scores/Ranking

Form IIC. Individual Evaluations-Comparison (Average Scores)

³ Section II applies to Quality- and Cost-Based Selection (QCBS), Quality-Based Selection (Quality- Based), Fixed-Budget Selection (Fixed-Budget), and Least-Cost Selection (Least-Cost). Supply appropriate data in cases of Selection Based on Qualifications (Qualifications) and Single-Source Selection (Single-Source) in Form IIA.

Form IIA. Technical Evaluation Basic Data

2.1	Name of Procuring Entity Name of Project		
2.2	Client: (a) Name (b) Address, Phone, Facsimile		
2.3	Type of assignment (Pre-investment, Preparation, or implementation), and brief description of sources.		
2.4	Method of Selection ¹ :	QCBS Fixed-Budget Qualification	
2.5	Prior review thresholds: (a) Full prior review(b) Simplified prior review (notice)	NGN	
2.6	Request for expression of interest ² : (a) Publication in ADSBPP's website (b) Publication in national newspaper(s) (c) Number of responses		No
2.7	Shortlist: (a) Names/nationality of firms/ associations (mark domestic firm and firms that had expressed interest).	2	
	(b) Submission to the ADSBPP for No-Objection.(c) ADSBPP's No-Objection	Date	
4	See Guidelines		
5	Required for large contracts (see Guidelines).		
2.8	Request for proposals: (a) Submission to ADSRPP for CoC	Date	

	(b) ADSBPP's CoC	Date	
	(c) Issuance of Consultants		
2.9	Amendments and clarifications to the RFP (describe)		
2.10	Contract:		
	(a) ADSBPP Standard Time Based	YesPrice adjustment: Yes	s No
	(b) ADSBPP Standard Lump Sum	Yes	
	(c) Other (describe)		S No
2.11	Pre-proposal conference:		_ No
2.11	(a) Minutes issued		_ No
2.12	Proposal Submission: (a) Two envelopes (technical and		
	financial proposals)	Yes	
	(b) One envelop (technical)	Yes	
	(c) Original submission	Date	_ Time
	(d) Extension(s)	Date	_ Time
2.13	Submission of Financial Proposal	Location	
2.14	Opening of Technical Proposals by		
	selection committee	Date	_ Time
2.15	Number of proposals submitted		
2.16	Evaluation Committee ¹ :		
	Members name and titles	1	
	(normally three to five)	2	
6 2.17	it is important that evaluators be qualified Proposal validity period (days):		
	(a) Original expiration date	Date	_ Time
	(b) Extension(s), if any	Date	_ Time

2.18	Evaluation Criteria/subcriteria ¹ :					
	(a) Consultant's experience					
	(i)	Weight				
	(ii)	Weight				
	(b) Methodology					
	(i)	Weight				
	(ii)	Weight				
	(c) Key staff					
	(i) Individual(s)					
	(A)	Weight				
	(B)	Weight				
	(C)	Weight				
	(ii) Group(s)					
	(A)	Weight				
	(B)	Weight				
	(C)	Weight				
	(d) Training (optional)					
	(i)	Weight				
	(ii)	Weight				
	(e) Local input (optional)	W:-l.4				
	(i)	Weight				
	(ii)	Weight				

7

Maximum of three sub criteria per criterion.

2.19	Technical scores by Consultants	Minimum qualifying score
	•	

Consultant's names	Technical scores
1	
2	
3	
4	

2.20	Evaluation	Report:

(a) Submission to ADSBPP for No-Objection.

Date _____

- 2.21 Evaluation notice:
 - (a) Submission to ADSBPP

Date _____

Form IIB. Evaluation Summary Technical Scores/Ranking

	(Insert name of consultant 1)	(Insert name of consultant 2)	(Insert name of consultant 3)	(Insert name of consultant 4)
Criteria	Scores	Scores	Scores	Scores
Experience				
Methodology				
Proposed staff				
Training				
Local input				
Total Score ^a				
Rank				

a. Proposals scoring below the minimum qualifying score of (number) points have been rejected.

Form IIC. Individual Evaluation - Comparison

Consultants' Name	(Insert name of	(Insert name of	(Insert name of	(Insert name of
	consultant 1)	consultant 2)	consultant 3)	consultant 4)
Criteria	A B			
Experience	AV^a			
	C D			
Methodology				
Proposed staff				
Training				
Local input				
Total				

a. A, B, C and D = Scores given by evaluators; AV = average score, see Annex I (i)

NOTE:

Please see the preface

For contracts above a threshold requiring ADSBPP's Certificate of Compliance for the technical evaluation report, *financial proposals must not be opened* before the PE has received such Certificate-of-Compliance. The technical evaluation (technical scores in particular) cannot be changed following the opening of the financial proposals.

Section III. Financial Evaluation Report – Award Recommendation – Text⁸

The text will indicate:

- (a) Any issues faced during the evaluation, such as difficulty in obtaining the exchange rates to convert the prices into the common currency used for evaluation purposes.
- (b) Adjustment made to the prices of the proposal(s) (mainly to ensure consistency with the technical proposal) and determinant on the evaluated price (does not apply to Quality Based (Quality-Based), Selection Based on Qualifications (Qualifications), a Single-Source Selection (Single-Source);
- (c) Tax-related problems
- (d) Award recommendation; and
- (e) Any other important information.

Taxes are not taken into account in the financial evaluation whereas reimbursable are.

Section IV. Financial Evaluation Report – Award Recommendation – Form⁹

Form IVA. Financial Evaluation – Basic Data

Form IVB. Adjustments – Currency Conversion – Evaluated Prices

Form IVC. QCBS – Combined technical/Financial Evaluation – Award Recommendation

Form IVD. Fixed-Budget and Least-Cost Selection – Award Recommendation

Form IVA. Financial Evaluation – Basic Data

4.1	ADSBPP's Certificate of Compliance To technical evaluation report (Quality-Based, Qualifications, Single-Source)	Date			
4.2	Public opening of financial proposals (a) Names and proposals prices (mark consultants that attended public opening).	1 2 3	Time_		
4.3	Evaluation committee: members' names and titles (if not the same as in the technical evaluation-Quality-Based, Qualifications, Single Source).				
4.4	Methodology (formula) for evaluation of cost (QCBS only; cross as appropriate).	•	ersely proport		
4.5	Submission of Final technical/ financial evaluation report to the ADSBPP (Quality-Based, Qualifications, Single Source).	Date			
4.6	QCBS (a) Technical, financial and final scores (Quality-Based: technical scores only	Consultant' Name	Technical scores	Financial scores	Final Scores
	(b) Award recommendation				
4.7	Fixed Budget and Least-Cost (a) Technical scores, proposals and evaluated prices	Consultant' Name	Technical scores	Financial scores	Final Scores
	(b) Award recommendation				

(c) Fixed-Budget: best technical proposal within the budget (evaluated price)	Name
(d) Least-Cost: lowest evaluated price proposal above minimum qualifying score	Name

Form IVB. Adjustments – Currency Conversion – Evaluated Prices¹

Consultants' Names	Proposals' Prices		Adjustment Evaluated price(s)		Conversion to curr	Financial scores	
	Currency	Amounts		• • • • •	Exchange rate(s)	Proposals prices	
		(1)	(2)	(3) (1) (2)	(4)	(5) = (3)(4)	

- (a) Comments, if any (e.g. exchange rates): three foreign currencies maximum, plus local currency.
- (b) Arithmetical errors and omissions of items included in the technical proposals. Adjustments may be positive or negative.
- (c) As per RFP.
- (d) 100 points to the lowest evaluated proposal; other scores to be determined in accordance with provisions of RFP.
- (e) Value of one currency unit in the common currency used for evaluation purposes, normally the local currency (e.g. USS1 = 30 rupees), indicate source as per RFP.

Form IVC. QCBS – Combined Technical/Financial Evaluation – Award Recommendation

Consultants' names	Technical Evaluation			Financial E	valuation	Combined Evaluation		
	Technical scores ^a	Weighted scores	Technical Rank	Financial Scores ^c	Weighted scores S(f) x F ^d	Scores	Doub	
	S(t)	S(t) x T ^b		S(f)	S(1) X F"	S(t) Y + S(f) F	Rank	
Award recommendation	To highest combined technical/financial score.							
	Consultant's name:							

a. See Form IIB.

b. T = As per RFP.

c. See Form IVB.

d. F = As per RFP.

Form IVD. Fixed-Budget and Least-Cost Selection – Award Recommendation¹

	Fixed-Budget Selection		Least-Cost Selection			
Consultants' names	Technical scores ^a	Evaluated prices ^b	Technical scores	Evaluated prices		
Award recommendation	To best technical score w	ith evaluated price	To lowest evaluated pr	rice above minimum		
	within budget.		qualifying score.			
	Consultants' name:		Consultant's			
			name:			

a. See Form IIB.

b. See Form IVB.

Section V. Annexes

Annex I. Individual Evaluations

Form V Annex I (i). Individual Evaluations

Form V Annex I(ii). Individual Evaluations – Key Personnel

Annex II. Information Data Monitoring

Annex III. Minutes of Public Opening of Financial Proposals.

Annex IV. Request for Proposals.

Annex V. Miscellaneous Annexes – Ad-Hoc

Annex 1 applies to Quality-Based, Fixed-Budget and Least-Cost. For Quality and Single Source, it is replaced by a review of the strengths and weaknesses of the proposal, which may be amended by one or several evaluators.

Annex 1(i). Individual Evaluations

Consultant's name:	
--------------------	--

	Maximum		Evaluators				Average
	Scores	1	2	3	4	5	Scores
Experience							
-							
-							
-							
Mathadalagy							
Methodology							
_							
_							
Key Staff							
-							
-							
-							
Transfer of knowledge (Training ^a)							
-					1		
-							
-							
Participation by National ^a							
-							
-							
-							
Total	100						
a. If specified in the RFP.							
1. Evaluator's Name	Signature:Date		_ Date:_				
2. Evaluator's Name	Signature:Date:						
3. Evaluator's Name	Signature:Date:						
4. Evaluator's Name	Signature: Date:						
5. Evaluator's Name	Signature: Date:						

Annex I (ii) Individual Evaluations – Key Personnel

Key Staff Names ^a	Maximum Scores	General Qualifications	Adequacy for the Assignment	Experience in Region	Total Marks	Scores
		() ^b	() ^b	() ^b	(100)	
Cotal						
	eighted scores of by + cz with x, y	the members of the	group. For example,	p (e.g. financial group the score of a group of this of the members (x	of three individual	s scoring a,
ame of Evaluator:		Signature:			Date:	

Annex II. Information Data Monitoring

5.1	Loan/credit/grant (a) Number (b) Date of effectiveness (c) Closing date (i) Original (ii) Revised	
5.2	General Procurement Notice (a) First issue date(b) Latest update	
5.3	Request for expressions of interest ¹ : (a) Publication in <i>ADSBPP website</i> (b) Publication in national local newspaper(s)	Date Name of newspaper(s) and date(s)
5.4	Did the use of price as a factor of Selection change the final ranking? ²	Yes No
5.5	Did the use of "local input" as a Factor of selection change the Technical ranking? ³	Yes No

¹³ Required for large contracts (see guidelines).

¹⁴ Compare technical rank with rank in Form IVC.

¹⁵ Figure out technical scores with and without "local input" (Form IIB).

Annex III. Minutes of Public Opening of Financial Proposals¹

MINUTES

The minutes should indicate the names of the participants in the proposal opening session, the proposal prices, discounts, technical scores, and any details that the Client, at its discretion, may consider appropriate.

All attendees must sign the minutes.

Annex IV. Request for Proposals¹

A Standard Request for Proposals must be used for Adamawa State Government – financed contracts in excess of NGN 10 Million. ADSBPP also recommends the use of the Standard Request for Proposals document for smaller contracts to simplify its prior review (i.e. when the PE cannot issue the document without ADSBPP Certificate of Compliance).

The Standard Request for Proposals is available on ADSBPP's internet site and at the following address.

Adamawa State Bureau of Public Procurement, State Secretariat Complex, Yola, Adamawa State

Annex IV applies to all selection procedures (ADSBPP's Standard Request for Proposals may be used for Qualifications and Single-Source, with appropriate modifications).

Annex V. Miscellaneous Annexes – Ad – Hoc